

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/963,527	09/27/2001	Kunie Ogata	OMY-013	9266
23353 7	590 03/21/2005		EXAMINER	
RADER FISHMAN & GRAUER PLLC			RUGGLES, JOHN S	
LION BUILDING 1233 20TH STREET N.W., SUITE 501			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20036			1756	

DATE MAILED: 03/21/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

^ -	
K	Γ

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/963,527	OGATA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
John Ruggles	1756	

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief --The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 23 February 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. 1.

The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: a) \square The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b), ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) a set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing an appeal brief. The Notice of Appeal was filed on _____. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appea has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). **AMENDMENTS** 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below): (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): See Continuation Sheet. 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 7. X For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) X will not be entered, or b) Will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: none. Claim(s) objected to: 16-23 and 26-29. Claim(s) rejected: 16-23 and 26-29. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: none. AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief. will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. \(\subseteq \) The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 13. Other: ____. John Ruggles

Examiner, Art Unit 1756 571-272-1390

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: While the proposed amendments would address most of the previous claims objections and formal rejections under the second paragraph of 35 USC 112, these amendments would also necessitate a new rejection under this section because "P" at claim 16 step (e) line 24 while corresponding to the newly proposed substitute specification "P" for pressure in the coating unit found at page 42 lines 11-12, the "P" in claim 16 step (e) line 24 does not provide proper antecedent basis for and is not consistent with "P2" in the formula at claim 16 step (e) line 26. Also, the proposed amendment to claim 16 step (d) fails to properly address the previous formal rejection under the second paragraph of 35 USC 112 based on claim 16 step (d), because even the newly proposed phrase "an accuracy that the base film pattern matches with a resist pattern that is formed in step (c)" is unclear with regard to how such a comparison could be made or would even be useful for the same reasons as previously explained on pages 4-5 of the Office action mailed on 26 February 2004.

Continuation of 5. Applicants' reply would have overcome the following rejection(s): the 35 USC 112 second paragraph rejections based on (1) claim 16 step (a), (2) claim 22 line 6, (3) claim 23 lines 2-3, and (4) claim 28 lines 2-3; but the proposed amendments have not been entered for at least the reasons given above.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the proposed amendments fail to address ALL the previous objections and formal rejections; while the proposed amendments would also necessitate at least one new formal rejection as stated above. Consequently, the proposed amendments have NOT been entered.

John Ruggles

Examiner, Art Unit 1756

571-272-1390

MARK F. HUFF SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700

Mars